

# Compatibility of quantum measurements and inclusion constants for free spectrahedra

Andreas Bluhm

with Ion Nechita

Technical University of Munich  
Department of Mathematics

QIP, January 14, 2019

Compatibility of quantum measurements:

- ▶ Measurement = POVM
- ▶ Compatible if marginals of common measurement
- ▶ Only incompatible measurements can violate Bell inequalities
- ▶ Noise robustness quantifies incompatibility

Inclusion of free spectrahedra:

- ▶ Convex optimization
- ▶ Free spectrahedron = relaxation of linear matrix inequalities (dual SDPs)
- ▶ Inclusion constants quantify error

Aim of this talk: Connecting the two problems

## Example

Consider two binary measurements:  $\{E, I - E\}$ ,  $\{F, I - F\}$ .  
Assume that there is a measurement  $\{R_{i,j}\}_{i,j=0}^1$  such that

$$\begin{array}{rcccl} R_{0,0} & + & R_{0,1} & = & E \\ + & & + & & \\ R_{1,0} & + & R_{1,1} & = & I - E \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \\ F & & I - F & & \end{array}$$

Then the measurements are **jointly measurable** or **compatible**.

- ▶ For concrete measurements, this can be checked using an SDP.
- ▶ There is an equivalent definition via classical post processing.

# The compatibility region

- ▶ Measurements can be made compatible by adding a sufficient amount of noise
- ▶ White noise:

$$E \mapsto sE + \frac{1-s}{2} I_d$$


- ▶ **Compatibility region:**

$$\Gamma(g, d) := \left\{ s \in [0, 1]^g : s_i E_i + \frac{1-s_i}{2} I_d \text{ are compatible} \right. \\ \left. \forall E_1, \dots, E_g \in \text{Eff}_d \right\}.$$

- ▶ Incompatibility is a resource for quantum information processing
- ▶ Noise robustness can be used to quantify incompatibility
- ▶ Lower bounds on  $\Gamma(g, d)$  through approximate cloning

## Example

As  $\Gamma(g, d)$  is convex, it holds  $(\frac{1}{g}, \dots, \frac{1}{g}) \in \Gamma(g, d) \forall d \in \mathbb{N}$



$$\Gamma(g, d) := \left\{ \mathbf{s} \in [0, 1]^g : \right. \\ \left. s_i E_i + \frac{1 - s_i}{2} I \text{ are comp.} \right. \\ \left. \forall E_1, \dots, E_g \in \text{Eff}_d \right\}.$$

# Free spectrahedra

Let  $A \in (M_d^{sa})^g$ . The **free spectrahedron at level  $n$**  is defined as

$$\mathcal{D}_A(n) := \left\{ X \in (\mathcal{M}_n^{sa})^g : \sum_{i=1}^g A_i \otimes X_i \leq I_{nd} \right\}.$$

The **free spectrahedron** is the union of these levels

$$\mathcal{D}_A := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D}_A(n).$$


Different free spectrahedra can usually have the same first level  $\mathcal{D}_A(1)$ .

An important example is the **matrix diamond**:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\diamond, g}(n) = \left\{ X \in (\mathcal{M}_n^{sa})^g : \sum_{i=1}^g \epsilon_i X_i \leq I_n \forall \epsilon \in \{-1, +1\}^g \right\}.$$

## Example

For  $g = 2$ :



$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- ▶  $\mathcal{D}_A \subseteq \mathcal{D}_B$  means  $\mathcal{D}_A(n) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_B(n)$  for all  $n$

## Lemma<sup>1</sup>

Let  $A \in (\mathcal{M}_D^{sa})^g$ ,  $B \in (\mathcal{M}_d^{sa})^g$ . Furthermore, let  $\mathcal{D}_A(1)$  be bounded. The unital linear map  $\Phi : \text{span}\{I, A_1, \dots, A_g\} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_d^{sa}$ ,

$$\Phi : A_i \mapsto B_i \quad \forall i \in [g]$$

is  $n$ -positive if and only if  $\mathcal{D}_A(n) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_B(n)$ .

- ▶  $\mathcal{D}_A(1) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_B(1) \implies \mathbf{s} \cdot \mathcal{D}_A \subseteq \mathcal{D}_B$  for  $\mathbf{s} \in [0, 1]^g$ .
- ▶ **Inclusion set:**  $\Delta(g, d) := \left\{ \mathbf{s} \in [0, 1]^g : \forall B \in (\mathcal{M}_d^{sa})^g \right.$   
 $\left. \mathcal{D}_{\diamond, g}(1) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_B(1) \implies \mathbf{s} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\diamond, g} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_B \right\}$

---

<sup>1</sup>J. W. Helton et al. Dilations, linear matrix inequalities, the matrix cube problem and beta distributions. *arXiv:1412.1481*, 2014.



## Theorem

Let  $E \in (\mathcal{M}_d^{sa})^g$  and let  $2E - I := (2E_1 - I_d, \dots, 2E_g - I_d)$ . We have

1.  $\mathcal{D}_{\diamond, g}(1) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{2E-I}(1)$  if and only if  $E_1, \dots, E_g$  are POVM elements.
2.  $\mathcal{D}_{\diamond, g} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{2E-I}$  if and only if  $E_1, \dots, E_g$  are jointly measurable POVM elements.
3.  $\mathcal{D}_{\diamond, g}(k) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{2E-I}(k)$  for  $k \in [d]$  if and only if for any isometry  $V : \mathbb{C}^k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$ , the induced compressions  $V^* E_1 V, \dots, V^* E_g V$  are jointly measurable POVM elements.

## Theorem

It holds that  $\Gamma(g, d) = \Delta(g, d)$ .

$\mathcal{D}_{\diamond, g}(1) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{2E-I}(1)$  if and only if  $E_1, \dots, E_g$  are POVM elements.

- ▶ Consider the extreme points  $\pm e_i$  of the matrix diamond.

$\mathcal{D}_{\diamond, g} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{2E-I}$  if and only if  $E_1, \dots, E_g$  are jointly measurable POVM elements.

- ▶ Inclusion holds if and only if the unital map

$$\Phi : I_2^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes \text{diag}[-1, 1] \otimes I_2^{\otimes(g-i)} \mapsto 2E_i - I_d$$

is completely positive

- ▶ Arveson's extension theorem:  $\Phi$  has a positive extension  $\tilde{\Phi}$  to  $\mathbb{C}^{2^g}$
- ▶ Basis  $g_\eta$  of  $\mathbb{C}^{2^g}$ :  $G_\eta := \tilde{\Phi}(g_\eta)$  is a joint POVM for  $E_1, \dots, E_g$  if and only if  $\tilde{\Phi}$  positive

It holds that  $\Gamma(g, d) = \Delta(g, d)$ .

- ▶ Davidson et al.<sup>2</sup>: Point independent of  $d$

$$\frac{1}{g}(1, \dots, 1) \in \Delta(g, d)$$

- ▶ Helton et al.<sup>3</sup>: Point independent of  $g$

$$\frac{1}{2d}(1, \dots, 1) \in \Delta(g, d)$$

---

<sup>2</sup>K. R. Davidson et al. Dilations, inclusions of matrix convex sets, and completely positive maps. *Int. Math. Res. Notices*, 2017(13):4069–4130, 2017.

<sup>3</sup>J. W. Helton et al. Dilations, linear matrix inequalities, the matrix cube problem and beta distributions. *arXiv:1412.1481*, 2014.

## Theorem

Let  $g, d \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, it holds that  $QC_g \subseteq \Delta(g, d)$ . In other words, for any  $g$ -tuple  $E_1, \dots, E_g$  of POVM elements and any positive vector  $s \in \mathbb{R}_+^g$  with  $\|s\|_2 \leq 1$ , the  $g$ -tuple of noisy POVM elements  $E'_i = s_i E_i + (1 - s_i)I_d/2$  is jointly measurable.

## Theorem

Let  $g \geq 2$ ,  $d \geq 2^{\lceil (g-1)/2 \rceil}$ . Then,  $\Delta(g, d) \subseteq QC_g$ .

$$QC_g := \{s \in [0, 1]^g : s_1^2 + \dots + s_g^2 \leq 1\}$$

---

<sup>4</sup>B. Passer et al. Minimal and maximal matrix convex sets. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 274:3197–3253, 2018.

We can construct POVM elements which achieve the upper bound:

$$F_i^{(k+1)} = \sigma_X \otimes F_i^{(k)} \quad \forall i \in [2k+1]$$
$$F_{2k+2}^{(k+1)} = \sigma_Y \otimes I_{2^k}, \quad F_{2k+3}^{(k+1)} = \sigma_Z \otimes I_{2^k}.$$

## Example


$$k = 1: F_1^{(1)} = \sigma_X, F_2^{(1)} = \sigma_Y, F_3^{(1)} = \sigma_Z$$

$k = 2:$

$$F_1^{(2)} = \sigma_X \otimes \sigma_X, \quad F_2^{(2)} = \sigma_X \otimes \sigma_Y, \quad F_3^{(2)} = \sigma_X \otimes \sigma_Z,$$

$$F_4^{(2)} = \sigma_Y \otimes I_2, \quad F_5^{(2)} = \sigma_Z \otimes I_2$$

# What we know about $\Gamma(g, d)$



- ▶ In the green area, the upper and lower bound from Passer et al. coincide
- ▶ In the orange area, we know that the point  $1/(2d)(1, \dots, 1)$  is no longer in  $QC_g$
- ▶ Lower bounds better than symmetric cloning
- ▶ Attention:  $QC_g$  shrinks with  $g$

The **matrix diamond** is the universal for binary measurements, which object do we consider for more outcomes?

- ▶ Line with endpoints  $\pm 1$  is a simplex  $S_1$  in one dimension
- ▶  $\mathcal{D}_{\diamond,2}(1) = S_1 \oplus S_1$
- ▶ Measurements with  $k$ -outcomes:  $S_{k-1}$
- ▶ Level 1:  $S_{k_1-1} \oplus \dots \oplus S_{k_g-1}$
- ▶ Matrix diamond is the maximal free spectrahedron sitting on the  $\ell_1$ -ball
- ▶ Taking the maximal free spectrahedron for  $k$ -outcomes leads to the **matrix jewel**
- ▶ Connection carries over to the general setting
- ▶ Similar inclusion problems can be found for the compatibility of quantum channels and compatibility in GPTs (ongoing)

- ▶ Compatibility of binary POVMs corresponds to inclusion of the matrix diamond into a free spectrahedron defined by the POVM elements
- ▶ Compatibility region = Inclusion set of the matrix diamond
- ▶  $\Gamma(g, d) = QC_g$  for dimension  $d$  exponential in the number of measurements  $g$

## References:

1. AB and Ion Nechita. Joint measurability of quantum effects and the matrix diamond. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 59(11):112202, 2018.
2. AB and Ion Nechita. Compatibility of quantum measurements and inclusion constants for the matrix jewel. *arXiv1809.04514*, 2018.